

**ADEQUATE PUBLIC FACILITIES
ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

ACTION MINUTES

MEETING OF July 7, 2011

The meeting was convened at 7:10 p.m. In attendance – Julie Carr, Dennis Cain, Soo Lee-Cho, Tom Gibney, Charles Littlefield, Eric Siegel, Jason Anthony and Roald Schrack. Sean Hart attended by conference phone.

The chair announced that there would be no schools discussion at this meeting.

The committee took up review of the June 29 meeting minutes. A number of revisions were recommended. Eric Siegel moved, seconded by Jason Anthony, to approve the minutes with revisions. The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting turned to review of the minutes of the June 30 meeting. A number of revisions and corrections were noted. There was a discussion about the history of the school facility payment history within the City. Tom Gibney moved, seconded by Charles Littlefield, to approve the amended minutes. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1, with Roald Schrack abstaining.

The committee took up discussion of the water and sewer standards in the APFS manual. There was discussion about what, if any, changes were needed to the water service standard. Based on information from Public Works, there is projected to be enough water and sewage treatment capacity available through 2040. There is a typo on page 12 of the standards manual, where it should read sewer instead of water.

The discussion turned to the Fire/EMS standard. It was noted that the greatest number of calls now are for EMS services, not fires. The text should be updated from the 2001 references to the current ones. The whole section needs to be rewritten for clarity. With almost all public and commercial buildings being provided with sprinklers, the full fire response may not be needed. The EMS response is what's important.

The discussion then turned to the issue of how waivers to the APFO and APFS are approved. The current supermajority vote requirement was thoroughly discussed and debated. There were a number of divergent viewpoints expressed. This led to a discussion about how and whether the Mayor and Council could subject themselves to a supermajority requirement, especially for amendments to the APFS. Under current procedures, the APFS can be amended by resolution with only a majority vote and does not require a public hearing. There was consensus among the committee members to recommend that the Mayor and Council be required to hold a public hearing on any amendment to the APFS.

APFO Committee Meeting Minutes
July 7, 2011

The committee also discussed the idea of offering a sidebar in the final report on the manner in which the APFS amendment for Silverwood project was handled by the Mayor and Council.

The chair noted that further discussion of the Fire/EMS standard would be tabled until the next meeting.

The staff noted that the conference room has been reserved for the next three Thursdays for additional meetings. Julie will make an oral presentation to the Planning Commission next Wednesday evening on the committee's progress to date.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:47 p.m.