



City of Rockville

MEMORANDUM

September 8, 2006

TO: City of Rockville Planning Commission

FROM: Rebecca Torma, Planner II

VIA: R. James Wasilak, AICP, Chief of Planning

SUBJECT: Proposed Zoning Text Amendment TXT2005-00217; To amend the Zoning Ordinance to permit new one--family detached homes and additional modifications in the Residential Townhouse Development (RTH) Special Development Procedure.

The text amendment has been modified and now has a new applicant. (See Attachment #2). This is provided as information to the Commission in the event the may want to modify its recommendation.

CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING (May 10, 2006):

The following is a list of changes that were made from the previous meeting:

- Height - Staff is recommending to retain the existing height limit of 35-feet, which will keep the new development more compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.
- Setback from Internal Roads – Staff is recommending that during the Exploratory Application stage that the Mayor and Council be permitted to reduce the front setback to 18 feet from any newly created public right-of-way in the RTH developments. The 18-foot distance will permit a reasonable setback and allow a driveway in this area to be used for required parking.
- Size of development – Staff is not recommending that the size of the development be increased above 10-acres outside of the Town Center area since the WINX and Fleet Street projects do not need it.
- Stacked townhouses – At this time, staff is not recommending adding stacked townhouses to the one-family attached homes definition. No projects for RTH are projecting to have stacked townhouses or any similar product, as the proposed RTH for the MCPS property on North Stonestreet Avenue has been withdrawn.

BACKGROUND

The Residential Townhouse Development (RTH) Special Development Procedure was originally adopted into the Zoning Ordinance in 1982 (T-45-81), after the planning process for the Town Center Planning Area identified a need to create a transition between the high density commercial uses and the adjacent one-family neighborhoods around the Town Center. Prior to the RTH, the only way to develop townhouses was in the R-30 Zoning District. The R-30 Zoning District allowed a higher density than a Planned Residential Unit (PRU) that would accommodate garden and mid-rise apartment buildings, but it did not allow for a great deal of control by the Mayor and Council over the site development. In addition, at the time it was created, there was pressure for office development and in order for developers to achieve a reasonable return on their property but not build offices, RTH was created.

The RTH Special Development Procedure as originally proposed, would be a transitional zone for sites in and adjacent to the Town Center Planning Area. Therefore, the regulations were established at the time of adoption and a few possible sites were identified, but the other sites could be used under the RTH process if the Mayor and Council chose to do so.

The RTH process, which is voluntary for an applicant and a discretionary approval, was developed to afford the developer flexibility, to promote a suitable environment for family life and to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the adjacent properties and neighborhood. In addition, the new development should preserve any existing one--family detached dwellings. Prior to adoption, the RTH was expanded to be permitted throughout the City subject to certain locational criteria, not just in the Town Center as originally proposed. A total of 13 RTH developments have been constructed in the City.

In 1997 (TXT1997-0164), the RTH Special Development Procedure was revised because of concerns regarding applications filed adjacent to existing one- family neighborhoods that were not deemed appropriate. However, after looking into the RTH more closely, the Zoning Ordinance Review Group, which was comprised of present and former members of the Planning Commission and a former planning staff member, determined that the real problem with RTH was the vagueness of the locational standards, including the broad use of terms. The group recommended amending the RTH to include adding an initial application phase, removing the "isolated" sites provision, deleting permitted "public uses," improving the definition of transitional use and having a matrix which shows where the RTH as a transitional use is appropriate. The additional step of initial application allows the Mayor and Council to make a finding that a particular site is appropriate for transitional use and that the transitional land use criteria are satisfied.

DISCUSSION

Miller, Miller & Canby, on behalf of Centex Homes, originally applied to revise the Residential Townhouse (RTH) Special Development Procedure. Centex was the prospective developer of the WINX property on Ashley Avenue and MCPS property on North Stonestreet Avenue. Their

proposed additional language is underlined and the proposed deleted language is in [brackets]. Additional modifications Montgomery County begin with Attachment 5. Montgomery County owns the property on Fleet Street, also subject to a pending RTH application.

Original Proposed Text Amendment

Miller, Miller & Canby submitted the original proposed text amendment to the City Clerk's Office on June 16, 2005, and the Mayor and Council authorized its filing on July 18, 2005. Note that the complete existing RTH Division of the Zoning Ordinance is included as Attachment 4. Below are the requested modifications and staff's recommendation for each.

Sec. 25-490. Development area limitations.

(b) In a residential townhouse development, the minimum project area shall be forty thousand (40,000) square feet. The maximum project area for residential townhouse development located outside of the Town Center Planning area shall be no more than ten (10) acres.

Staff Recommendation:

The applicant for the WINX project has found that they do not need this change to the Zoning Ordinance. At this time, staff is not recommending it. However, if it were retained, staff has determined that this change would not effect any property currently within the City.

Sec. 25-611. Generally.

Development under this division is designed to accommodate suitable sites for townhouse[s] development that may include new one-family detached residences in and adjacent to the Town Center Planning area and in other areas of the City where there is a need for a transitional use between commercial, office, industrial, and multifamily uses and nearby existing one (1) family detached residential uses. It is the intent of the residential townhouse development procedure to provide flexibility in the design of townhouses and new one-family detached residences (if provided) and their grouping and layout within the area[s] of [this] development, to provide in such developments the amenities normally associated with exclusively one (1) family detached zoning categories, to permit the greatest possible amount of freedom in types of ownership of [townhouse] dwelling units, to promote a suitable environment for family life and to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the adjacent properties and the neighborhood. The preservation of existing one (1) family detached dwellings is also encouraged. Where new one-family detached dwellings are provided, the lot size may be reduced below what would otherwise be permitted in the underlying one-family residential zone. In order to accomplish the purpose set forth above, development must be approved by the Mayor and Council as hereinafter provided.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends the development of transitional sites that include new one-family detached homes in addition to townhomes. The new one-family homes where none presently exist could provide a better transition to the existing one-family neighborhood.

The additional language "Where new one-family detached dwellings are provided ..." is not necessary since the language is added in other parts of the RTH and therefore, staff does not recommend it.

Sec. 25-613. Uses Permitted.

Uses permitted in a residential townhouse development shall consist of the following:

- (1) Attached one (1) family dwellings (townhouses);
- (2) Existing one (1) family detached dwellings;
- (3) New one-family detached dwelling, when recommended as appropriate in the Plan;
- [(3)] (4) Recreational facilities; and
- [(4)] (5) Home occupations as authorized in the R-60 and R-75, R-90, R-150, R-S, and R-E Zones.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends adding new one-family detached homes as stated above, since they will aid in applying the RTH as a transitional use.

Sec. 25-614. Minimum Development Standards.

(a) The following applies to residential townhouse developments:

- (3) The maximum height of a dwelling shall not exceed [thirty-five feet (35)] forty (40) feet.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff is not recommending the height be increased at this time.

- (4) Buildings shall have a setback of not less than thirty (30) feet from public street right-of-way lines or from the boundaries of the project, except that a fifty (50) foot setback shall be required from the right-of-way line of a limited access major, or arterial highway and a setback of not less than fifteen (15) shall be required where a project boundary adjoins non-residential property.

Staff Recommendation:

Since the non-residential property is typically at a higher density and would most likely have a negative impact on the new development, staff considers this to be a detriment to the transitional use role of RTH and therefore, does not recommend this change.

(5) The minimum separation between townhouse groups (clusters) shall be [twenty-five (25)] twelve (12) feet.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff finds that the smaller minimum separation is adequate between buildings and could allow for more site design flexibility. The structures will have to comply with the building code requirements. In addition, staff recommends this change since the overall density of the site will not be increased.

(b) The following applies to one-family detached dwellings, if provided, in residential townhouse developments:

(1) The minimum lot area shall be 5,600 square feet;

Staff recommendation:

Staff recommends keeping the R-60 Zone minimum lot area of 6,000 square feet and allowing Mayor and Council to waive the standard as appropriate. While some other developments in the City have less lot area for each house, generally these are not infill developments. Staff also recommends a minimum width at the street frontage and the setback line as is done in the R-60 and R-75 Zoning Districts. These setbacks could be compatible with the existing adjacent one-family detached dwellings.

(2) The maximum lot coverage shall not exceed forty (40) percent of the lot area;

Staff recommendation:

The R-60 Zoning District permits a 35 percent maximum coverage of the lot area. The request is for an additional five (5) percent, up to 40 percent maximum coverage of the lot. Since the applicant must maintain the 40 percent landscaping requirement over the entire site, this requirement should not adversely affect the coverage of the site.

(3) The minimum front yard setback shall be twenty-five (25) feet from a public street right-of-way line;

Staff recommendation:

Staff recommends a similar front yard setback from the public right-of-way for one-family detached homes as the adjacent existing residential zone; however it could not be less than 25 feet. Similar setbacks would make the new development more compatible with the existing residential development.

A reduced setback could be permitted if located near a denser neighborhood such as Town Center or within an area of the City with smaller setbacks. The reduced setback could allow for a wider sidewalk in order to promote a more pedestrian friendly environment.

(4) A rear yard, if provided, shall be a minimum of ten (10) feet;

Staff recommendation:

Staff has determined that the one-family detached dwelling units should have a rear yard of at least 10 feet. This is a similar setback to other recent developments. If these homes do not have a rear yard setback, then it would be impractical to reach the 40 percent coverage requirement.

(5) A side yard, if provided, shall be a minimum of six (6) feet;

Staff recommendation:

Staff considers no side yard to be a “zero lot line” one-family detached unit. Staff does not recommend no side yards, but would recommend at least a six foot side yard, which is similar to other recently-built developments. An alternative would be an aggregate side yard between two buildings on two lots.

(6) All accessory buildings, if any must be located in the rear yard;

Staff recommendation:

Staff agrees. This is currently required by the Zoning Ordinance.

(7) At the time of initial application approval under this Division the Mayor and Council may waive or modify the minimum front and rear yard setbacks if deemed appropriate.

Staff recommendation:

Staff has determined that this should be done at the exploratory application stage and would recommend that the language be changed to reflect that. Since the RTH provision allows for flexibility in the development of sites, staff considers modifications and waivers to a site plan consistent with the premises for it. Staff would also add a provision that would allow the Mayor and Council to waive any requirements of this subsection in order to provide for more flexibility in the development of RTH sites.

(8) The Mayor and Council in approving an exploratory application may authorize an increase in the maximum number of dwelling units herein permitted where moderately priced dwelling units are included in the development in excess of the mandatory requirements as specified in section 13.5-5 of the Moderately Priced Housing Ordinance.

Staff recommendation:

Currently, the Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit (MPDU) Ordinance permits a maximum of 22 percent bonus density on a site with at total of 15 percent MPDUs on site. This additional language does not create new requirements in the MPDU Ordinance and therefore staff has no concerns.

Sec. 25-617. Waiver or modification of screening and landscaping requirements.

(1) ***

(2) All design plans shall contain the following:

a. ***

[b. Each dwelling unit shall contain an enclosed privacy yard having a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet;]

Staff recommendation:

Staff has determined that each dwelling unit should have some kind of yard and therefore, is recommending the word “privacy” and “having a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet” be removed. The removal of the size yard requirement along with the word “privacy” is compatible with today’s developments that generally provide more communal space, while retaining a small yard for individual lots.

[c.]b. ***

Sec. 25-621. Action of Council on initial application.

To permit RTH between Industrial Uses (I-1) and one- family detached dwellings, when recommended by a Plan.

Staff recommendation:

Currently, if a property is adjacent to I-2 and I-3 Zoning Districts and one-family detached dwellings, a property may qualify. However, the WINX site (950 North

Stonestreet Avenue) is adjacent to a property zoned for I-1 Industrial Uses and R-60 one-family detached dwellings. Adding "I-1" Zoning Districts with the provision that it must be "recommended by a Plan" to the chart would allow for this site to qualify while limiting applicability to other areas. Staff has determined that no other site in the City would qualify unless recommended by the Plan, under these circumstances, therefore, staff recommends the change.

Modifications to Text Amendment Recommended by Staff

Miller, Miller & Canby and Montgomery County submitted modifications to the proposed RTH text amendment. Since the Mayor and Council have not formally accepted modifications, they are considered suggestions. However, staff has reviewed the suggested modifications and recommends incorporating some of these suggestions. Attachment 2 shows the entire text amendment with all staff recommendations (deleted language in [brackets] and additional language underlined).

Sec. 25-1. Definitions.

Dwelling unit, attached means one (1) of a group of three (3) or more dwelling units [separated from each other by a party wall without openings extending from the cellar floor to the highest point of the roof, along the dividing lot line, and having separate front and rear or front and side entrances from the outside] which are generally joined to one another by a common party wall, a common floor-ceiling or garage, and/or connecting permanent and architecturally unified structures such as walls which structures continue the design, pattern and/or materials of the façade from one dwelling unit to another, whether or not such a group is located on a single parcel or on adjoining individual lots.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff is not recommending this, as any changes to this definition should be made as part of the Zoning Ordinance rewrite.

Sec. 25-490. Development area limitations.

(b.) In a residential townhouse development, the minimum project area shall be forty thousand (40,000) square feet. The maximum project area for residential townhouse development located outside of Town Center Planning area shall be no more than ten (10) acres unless otherwise recommended by a Plan.

Staff Recommendation:

The applicant for the WINX project, which this provision would be used for, has stated that after they dedicate land to the City, the site would be less than 10-acres. Therefore, staff is not recommending this change.

Sec. 25-613. Uses Permitted.

- (5) Publicly owned or operated uses; and
[(4)] (6) ***

Staff Recommendation:

Montgomery County has proposed to allow “publicly owned or operated uses” in RTH developments. A similar wording was previously in the RTH under uses permitted, but was removed during the 1997 amendment. Staff recommends the following wording, “*Publicly owned or operated buildings and uses excluding sanitary landfills and incinerators*” be included. This wording is currently used in the Zoning Ordinance under permitted residential uses; however, generally by special exception. Since the RTH has a formal review process that includes resident’s input, staff considers this a similar type of procedure. In addition, staff has recommended additional language under Sec. 25-614. Minimum Development Standards, for the Publicly owned and operated buildings and uses. This additional language would ensure that these types of buildings and uses have to comply with the RTH development standards.

Sec. 25-614. Minimum Development Standards.

(a) ***

- (4) Buildings shall have a setback of not less than thirty (30) feet from public street right-of-way lines or from the boundaries of the project, except that a fifty (50) foot setback shall be required from the right-of-way line of a limited access major, or arterial highway and a setback of not less than fifteen (15) shall be required where a project boundary adjoins non-residential property. Provided however, where the residential townhouse development is located within the Town Center Planning Area, setbacks from public street right-of-way lines shall be reduced to ten (10) feet to promote a pedestrian oriented streetscape

Staff Recommendation:

Staff does not recommend the above language, but recommends a reduced setback from abutting rights-of-way, if the street capacity has low volume and/or a wider sidewalk and the setback is compatible with the surrounding development. A smaller street with typical volumes less than 20,000 vehicles per day, such as a primary industrial or primary residential (Class II) street could permit a reduced front setback. Also, a wider sidewalk with street trees could support a reduced front setback, which would also help achieve a pedestrian oriented streetscape.

Staff also recommends an 18-foot front setback from a public street internal to the site. The 18-foot setback will allow a driveway to be used as part of the parking requirement for each home and provide a similar setback that has been used in other recent developments.

(b)

(3) The minimum front yard setback shall be twenty-five (25) feet from a public street right-of-way line; provided, however, where the residential townhouse development is located within the Town Center Planning Area, the minimum front yard setback shall be fifteen (15) feet from a public street right-of-way line to promote a pedestrian oriented streetscape;

Staff Recommendation:

See staff recommendation under Sec. 25-614.a.4.

(7) At the time of initial application approval under this Division the Mayor and Council may waive or modify [the minimum front and rear yard setbacks] any of the requirements of this subsection if deemed appropriate.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff would permit the waiver or modification of any of the setbacks, however, staff would recommend “any of the requirements of this subsection if the development will be consistent with a plan” language. Also, staff would recommend any waiver be given at the time of exploratory application rather than initial, since site plans are looked at more in-depth at this time.

Sec. 25-621. Action of Council on initial application.

To permit RTH between single-family attached and single-family detached, multifamily (R-20 or greater), Commercial Use, Office Uses and Transitional Office Uses.

Staff Recommendation:

The applicant’s proposal would potentially increase the RTH use in the City. Therefore, staff has proposed “where one-family attached development is recommended as suitable in the relevant master plan” as additional language and deleting “and is employed” language. These changes would limit the use of RTH developments.

Attachments:

1. Staff Recommended Text Amendment
2. Proposed Text Amendment and Application by Miller, Miller & Canby
2. Existing RTH Division of Zoning Ordinance
3. Recommended Modifications from Miller, Miller & Canby
4. Recommended Modifications from Montgomery County

**RTH Text Amendment
Staff Recommendation
September 8, 2006**

Sec. 25-611. Generally.

Development under this division is designed to accommodate suitable sites for townhouse[s] development that may include new one-family detached residences in and adjacent to the Town Center Planning area and in other areas of the City where there is a need for a transitional use between commercial, office, industrial, and multifamily uses and nearby existing one (1) family detached residential uses. It is the intent of the residential townhouse development procedure to provide flexibility in the design of townhouses and new one-family detached residences (if provided) and their grouping and layout within the area[s] of [this] development, to provide in such developments the amenities normally associated with exclusively one (1) family detached zoning categories, to permit the greatest possible amount of freedom in types of ownership of [townhouse] dwelling units, to promote a suitable environment for family life and to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the adjacent properties and the neighborhood. The preservation of existing one (1) family detached dwellings is also encouraged. In order to accomplish the purpose set forth above, development must be approved by the Mayor and Council as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 25-613. Uses Permitted.

Uses permitted in a residential townhouse development shall consist of the following:

- (1) Attached one (1) family dwellings (townhouses);
- (2) Existing one (1) family detached dwellings;
- (3) New one-family detached dwellings, when recommended as appropriate in the Plan;
- ~~[(3)]~~ (4) Recreational facilities.
- (5) Publicly owned or operated buildings and uses excluding sanitary landfills and incinerators; and
- ~~[(4)]~~ (6) Home occupations as authorized in the R-60 and R-75, R-90, R-150, R-S, and R-E Zones.

Sec. 25-614. Minimum Development Standards.

- (a) The following applies to residential townhouse developments:
 - (1) ***
 - (2) ***
 - (3) ***
 - (4) Buildings shall have a setback of not less than thirty (30) feet from public street right-of-way lines or from the boundaries of the project, except that a fifty (50) foot setback shall be required from the right-of-way line of a limited access major, or arterial highway. Building front setbacks shall not less than 18 feet from a public street right-of-way internal to the site. However, where

the residential townhouse development is located within the Town Center Planning Area, setbacks from public street right-of-way lines may be reduced in order to promote a pedestrian oriented streetscape provided that the following requirements are met:

- a The reduced front setback from the public right-of-way is compatible with the surrounding development; and
- b The street classification is a primary industrial or primary residential (Class II) street; and
- c. In addition, the Mayor and Council may request a wider streetscape to include a street tree lawn and sidewalk.
- d. Front setbacks from internal public streets may also be reduced as deemed appropriate.

~~[(5)] (6)~~ The minimum separation between townhouse groups (clusters) shall be [25 feet] ~~twelve (12) feet.~~

~~[(6)] (7)~~

(b.) The following applies to one-family detached dwellings, if provided, in residential townhouse developments:

- (1) The minimum lot area shall be 6,000 square feet;
- (2) The maximum lot coverage shall not exceed forty (40) percent of the lot area;
- (3) The minimum front yard setback shall be twenty-five (25) feet from an abutting public street right-of-way line. However, where the residential townhouse development is located within the Town Center Planning Area, setbacks from public street right-of-way lines may be reduced in order to promote a pedestrian oriented streetscape provided that it meet the following requirements:
 - a The reduced front setback from the public right-of-way is compatible with the surrounding development; and
 - b The street classification is a primary industrial or primary residential (Class II) street; and
 - c. In addition, the Mayor and Council may request a wider streetscape to include a street tree lawn and sidewalk.
 - d. Front setbacks from internal public streets may also be reduced as deemed appropriate.
- (4) A rear yard shall be a minimum of ten (10) feet;
- (5) A side yard shall be a minimum of six (6) feet;
- (6) A minimum lot width at the front setback line shall be 50 feet, and a minimum lot width at the front lot line shall be 35 feet;
- (7) All accessory buildings must be located in the rear yard;
- (8) At the time of exploratory application approval under this Division the Mayor and Council may waive or modify any of the requirements of this subsection if the development will be consistent with a Plan.
- (9) The Mayor and Council in approving an exploratory application may authorize an increase in the maximum number of dwelling units herein

permitted where moderately priced dwelling units are included in the development in excess of the mandatory requirements as specified in section 13.5-5 of the Moderately Priced Housing Ordinance.

(c.) Publicly owned or operated buildings and uses shall meet the minimum development standards in Sec. 25-614.a. or b., as appropriate.

Sec. 25-617. Waiver or modification of screening and landscaping requirements.

- (1) ***
- (2) All design plans shall contain the following:
 - a. ***
 - b. Each dwelling unit shall contain [an enclosed privacy] a yard [having a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet];

Sec. 25-621. Action of Council on initial application.

The Council may approve an initial application under this division only if the Council finds that the residential townhouse development functions as a transitional land use where one-family attached development is recommended as suitable in the relevant master plan, or between existing or planned multifamily, commercial, office or light industrial land uses, [and is employed] in strict accordance with the following table:

<i>Appropriate Use of RTH as Transitional Land Use</i>							
Use	SFD	Multi-family (R-20 or greater)	Commercial Uses	Office Uses	Transitional Office Uses (O-2)	Light Industrial Uses	Industrial Uses
SFD							
Multi-family (R-20 or greater)	Yes						
Commercial Uses (C-2, RPC)	Yes	No					
Office Uses (O-1)	Yes	No	No				
Transitional Office (O-2)	No	No	No	No			
Light Industrial (I-2, I-3, I-4)	Yes	No	No	No	No		
Industrial Uses (I-1)	No/ <u>Yes</u> ¹	No	No	No	No	No	
Special Development (PRU/CPD)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Transportation facilities (Metro stations)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No

¹ If recommended in a Plan.