

Name	Date	Comments
Karen Friend	12/5/24	No worth to construct the entrance (no Ride-On buses access the site from new entrance; need to increase parking; need to prevent to reduce the green spaces, safety accessibility. Need a actual barrier; need a scientific survey of Senior Center members and data; need another Senior Center for King Farm residents
Wendy Aaronson	12/4/24	Support this project; Millenium Trail safety (EB W Gude with 3.5% grade, bike signal); speed limits on Millenium Trail
Richar Bradley	12/3/24	The green space taken by Entance is for kids'soccer practice;the cost of entrance is waste of city money; Senior Center members do not care to spend extra six minutes to drive around; residents do not want cut - through traffic. Pedestrians on Millennium Trail have to watch out for older senior citizens driving into the center. The money is wasted and it is a potential harder to pedestrian and small children.
Board of King Farm Neighbors Village	12/3/24	Support the entrance on W Gude Dr: It improves public safety; and decreases traffic through residential neighborhoods. Parking at Senior Center should be increased and equally accessible to all users. The 48 parking spaces for W Gude Dr entrance does not seem sufficiant to accomodate those entering from Gude Dr. Dividing the parking lot with a barrier limits access, reduce the number of parking spaces and hinders flexibility to accommodate the future changes. Construct the parking lot without barrier first and monitor if there is cut through traffic through Woodley Gardens neighborhood by then for any necessary improvement. Future demographic information about possible Senior Center participants from communities may change due to the new entrance on W Gude Dr. Proposal of barrier system is to create a solution for a problem that might not develop. It is short-sighted and not cost-efficient.
Hannah Wald	12/3/24	The design includes a gate to separate the Gude Drive parking spaces from the Carnation Drive parking spaces. Gate Operation procedures should be developed such as when and who can open the gate. Otherwise, traffic calming like speed bumps should be considred to discourage speeding through.
Nancy Kaelber	12/2/24	Support for the new proposed access road to the Rockville Senior Center
Pamela Feehan	11/27/24	A great convenience to a lot of people. Traffic control on Gude would be an important issue.
Reda Sheinberg	11/27/24	100% for that new entrance. One concern is that there will not be enough parking spaces for W Gude Dr side
Harry Iceland	11/26/24	Strongly support a plan for a new access entrance to the Rockville Senior Center
Judith Hartung	11/25/24	Support this proposed project
Karen and Karl Gotting	11/25/24	We strongly support the proposed new access road.
Marcia Bond	11/25/24	Recommend completion of this access road
Barry Stadd	11/25/24	Please make it happen ASAP
Murray Eisenberg	11/25/24	Support for building an access road from West Gude Drive to the Rockville Senior Center. Prevent the access road from being used as a shortcut for ordinary traffic headed for 355 South that must proceed westward along West Gude Drive to the intersection with 355, or the reverse route.
Sue Spector	11/23/24	In favor of having this proposed Gude Drive entrance. Do not see any situation where anyone would use the access road as a cut through. It seems unnecessary to have this gate separate the parking lot. Need more parking spaces due to the 9 lost parking space associated with the entrance and gate system. Providing access to more people outweighs the lost green space. The representative of the advisory group are not balanced with only 1 from King Farm and 4 from Falls grove vs 6 from Woodley Garden and 4 from college Garden.
Nick Durham	11/18/24	Desire for an emergency only gate if the new Gude entrance is built. Advocate the address be changed to decrease traffic through the neighborhood
Janet Hoveland	11/25/24	Strongly support a new entrance to Rockville Senior Center

Sushil Birla; Pramila Birla	12/7/24	1.To address the Carnation Drive increased traffic concern of the Woodley Gardens residents, one resident from that neighborhood made an excellent suggestion: Close the vehicular entrance from Carnation Drive (except for government, police, fire, and maintenance purposes). This will not only shrink their traffic to levels below the current level, but also reduce the project cost. 2.Referring to a comment by a Woodley Gardens resident that King Farm residents should park north of Gude Dr. and walk across Gude Drive, as one of the senior King Farm residents (who came to the meeting with the aid of a walker) stated, many of the King Farm seniors (including my wife, Pramila Birla) cannot cross Gude Drive safely due to physical limitations. It is not fair and equitable to deprive vehicular access from Piccard to King Farm seniors. 3.Referring to a comment by a Woodley Gardens resident that the City was proceeding with this project to increase revenue: The investment in this project cannot be recovered from the membership fees and revenues from the use of additional services there. On the contrary, the increased use of the Senior Center will improve the return on the investment and maintenance of the Senior Center. 4.The entrance from Gude Drive will provide more equitable access to other residents of Rockville. 5.The entrance from Gude Drive will also be attractive to seniors accessing it from Carnation Drive now; rather than drive through a maze of narrow low-speed residential streets, they will prefer the faster access from Gude Drive. 6.Regarding the concern about vehicles speeding on Carnation Drive (this issue exists even before opening the north entrance), provide portable speed detection cameras and impose penalties on violators; certainty of negative consequences changes behavior. 7.Regarding the concern about RideOn buses damaging parked vehicles and creating other traffic flow issues, this matter should be taken up with the responsible authorities. Cameras on Carnation drive should also be used to record evidence of such misbehavior by RideOn drivers or other drivers.
Daavi Zain	12/6/24	Welcome the entrance; request speed measures on Aster ; no commercial traffic through the neighborhood;
N Kate Deshler Gould	12/10/24	Support the project. Need to make sure the pedestrian safety at the intersection of W Gude & Piccard Dr, especially the RTOR restriction.
Rob Gould		Support the project.
Ralph DiGaetano	12/12/24	Suspect that the new entrance will reduce the traffic through Woodley Garden neighbor. The data need to focus on on frequent attendee instead of membership through a short survey before any further design beyond 30% plan. To save those coming from King Farm, Thomas Farm, and Rockshire an extra 5 minutes is not clear that to spend the money on building the new entrance. The city needs to address forthrightly the issue of why this convenience warrants the costs involved. There are plenty of free parking spaces available on Piccard that would permit members to drive, park, and make their way to walk to the Center. The city and the Woodley Gardens advisory board have had a less than congenial relationship. No cut through from Gude into the community on Carnation and Crocus.
Joe Dixon	12/13/24	The project seems shortsighted without any preliminary traffic analysis by using active Senior Center members' attendee data. Traffic study, acceleration/decellarition and no turn on red could be utilized. The new entrance also invite prospective disaster with individuals going too fast or swerving to miss an oncoming bicyclists and entering into the backyard of the adjoining property. The new entrance is not on the master plan of the city of Rockville. The gate system needs to be trackable, responsible or accountable. The new access road should provide the capacity to carry large/heavy emergency vehicle crossing the gas line. Transco gas line need to be coordinated. The project should be sufficiently evaluated for the safety.

		Several key issues: 1. Flawed Process: The plan was developed with minimal public input, infrequent and poorly organized committee meetings, and limited involvement from relevant city departments and contractors. Recommends delaying the plan's approval until adequate public input is obtained. 2. Traffic and Parking Management: The plan focuses on civil engineering but neglects critical traffic and parking management issues, which are essential for the project's success. Suggests these issues be resolved and included in the plan. 3. Parking Lot Division: The plan proposes dividing the parking lots, but there is a need for a permanent barrier to prevent cut-through traffic, which could endanger Senior Center users and exacerbate neighborhood congestion. 4. Gate System: Recommends a card key-operated gate system for Senior Center members to manage parking lot access, preventing cut-through traffic while accommodating peak demand. 5. Address Change: To reduce neighborhood traffic, suggests changing the Senior Center's address to Gude Drive and providing clear signage for overflow parking. Overall, Reschovsky calls for a more inclusive and thorough planning process, addressing both civil engineering and traffic management aspects to ensure the project's success and minimize negative impacts on the community.
Jim Reschovsky	12/14/24	
Sallylou Cloyd	12/15/24	Would use the entrance as cut through to Camen's at 1115 Nelson St
Betty Casper	12/15/24	Fully in support of the Gude Drive entrance to Sr. Center
Robert Thomson	12/15/24	Thoroughly support this project. Need improve the pedestrian signals.
Ann Rea	12/15/24	Support the project
Donna Martin	12/15/24	In favor of new entrance
Denise Silverberg	12/15/24	Strongly support
Toni Negro	12/15/24	The project not only increase use of the center, it will also mitigate speeding on Gude Drive
Evelyn Padilla	12/15/24	Stronly support the project but has concerns about the proposed parking spaces and equal accessibility to all residents. 48 parking spaces are not enough. Constructing the access road without parking barrier and decide after the new entrance being built based on the survey of after condition.
Judith F. Niner	12/15/24	Totally support the Senior Center Entrance Initiative
Terrence & Christine King	12/15/24	In favor of the proposal
Anne Herbster	12/15/24	Add an entrance to parking lot that would NOT have a cut through to the surrounding neighborhoods is important.
Jenni Glenn Gingery	12/15/24	The new entrance makes the facility more accessible.
Lisa Conners	12/15/24	Support the Picard Rd./Gude Drive entrance
BARBARA ATTARDI	12/15/24	Strongly support the construction of an entrance to the senior center from Gude drive.
Francis and Maria LaBosco	12/15/24	Support this initiative
Richard Feehan	12/15/24	Support the project
Carol Drew	12/15/24	That the city is proceeding with this process in a way that pits neighbor against neighbor, promotes the use of single cars over mass transit options, fails to use adequate data and thus allows for anecdotal and disingenuous arguments to be made, fails to listen to those most impacted, and fails to be transparent about decisionmaking. There is no time being allocated for adequate public discussion and full evaluation
Judy Folsom	12/15/24	Fully support the project
Gail Cashman	12/16/24	Support the project
Jean Mennone	12/16/24	Support the project
Ross Schwarzber	12/16/24	The determination of where to split the parking lot in two is based on a flawed parking demand analysis. There is too great a risk that the new entrance would increase vehicle miles traveled (VMT). The City may not be able to control how the gate is depicted on these mapping platforms now or in the future, leaving too great a risk for confusing or misleading public information. The potential for providing shorter driving trips for approximately one-third of senior center members does not provide enough benefit when weighed against the community-wide harms of new impervious surface, lights, and reduction of open space.
Felix Reichling	12/16/24	Strongly oppose the project due to Parking Overflow; EMT Access; Long-Term Traffic Risks

		1) Change the official address of the Senior Center to Gude Drive to encourage visitors to arrive via the Gude entrance and for GPS to map to that side of the building. 2) In support of this change in the official entrance to the Senior Center, adjust the parking allotment so there is more parking available on Gude Drive, since our desire is to encourage Senior Center participants to use that entrance. 3) Install an electronic, key card-controlled gate to allow for staff-controlled access to both sides of the parking lot during peak usage times. It is incumbent on the Senior Center staff to understand the importance of this controlled access so that we don't go down the slippery slope of cut-through access to the Woodley Gardens neighborhood. 4) Relocate the crosswalk across Gude at this intersection to the east side of Piccard to ensure better visibility while crossing Gude Drive. 5) Consider ways to alert Millenium Trail users to the cars turning in and out of the Senior Center using either a "stop light" and/or other lighted signage on the trail itself. 6) Build fencing and other large landscape plantings to mitigate sound and light for affected properties on Aster Blvd. 7) Rerouting as many buses as possible OFF the 800 block of Crocus Drive and directing them to the Gude Drive entrance. 8) Maintain as much green space as possible by adding fencing/new plantings between the Gude entrance driveway and the fields used for recreation. 9) Find a way to prioritize and address the noise issues along Gude Drive that directly impact both the Woodley Gardens and College Gardens communities. While we realize that this request is outside of the scope of this project, we ask that the City keep this issue in the forefront as they execute the Gude Drive entrance project.
Sandy Watson	12/16/24	
Linda Grabel		Support the project
Andrea Baruchin		Support the project
Marsha Teichman		Support the project
Eileen Vaughan		Support the project